

# From evidence to action

Illustrative environmental-readiness review | 16 October 2026

PROJECT: Concept Site A - fictional data infrastructure project

CHECKPOINT: Early facility planning

Identify the records, review responsibilities, and next actions needed before confirming a design basis.

Four example questions about water, power, project responsibilities, and IT assets. No jurisdiction-specific legal determination, technical design, or certification is made.

| Assessment question                                     | Fictional record reviewed                                                                                       | Finding and limitation                                                                                                           | Next action                                                                                                                   | Proposed owner                         |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| WATER: What supports the water-demand assumption?       | Fictional concept brief A lists estimated cooling-water demand; no supporting water balance is included.        | Evidence gap: the estimate cannot be traced to an operating case or calculation basis in the supplied record.                    | Request a design-stage water balance, its operating assumptions, and the project owner's proposed specialist review.          | Project lead + water specialist        |
| POWER: Is the supply assumption supported?              | Fictional concept brief B states a power requirement; no dated utility response or supply record is attached.   | Evidence gap: the stated requirement and the availability of supply are different questions.                                     | Obtain the relevant utility correspondence, record its date and limitations, and identify the next supply decision.           | Energy lead + utility interface        |
| RESPONSIBILITIES: Who owns each project-stage handoff?  | Fictional schedule C lists design and mobilization stages without an agency or specialist responsibility map.   | Needs role review: the record does not establish who determines applicability, prepares evidence, or makes an official decision. | Create a project-specific responsibility and dependency map; confirm authority and specialist roles before assigning actions. | Coordinator + authority/adviser        |
| IT ASSETS: What is needed before a retirement decision? | Fictional inventory D lists equipment types and counts but does not document condition or intended disposition. | Partial evidence: the inventory supports counting assets, but does not support a reuse, resale, or recovery decision.            | Record condition and ownership, identify disposition options, and define the documentation and qualified-provider questions.  | IT asset owner + disposition providers |

NEXT REVIEW: Confirm the review scope, request the missing records, agree the responsible people, and set a review checkpoint. Missing evidence is not automatically proof of a failed requirement.

Every record, project reference, finding, and proposed role in this example is fictional. It demonstrates a working format, not delivered client results, regulatory approval, accredited assurance, or an engineering assessment.